###### Deliverable

ID and Title: Deliverable: (D-42) Carrier Payment ICD Companion Guide

Description:

This Carrier Payment ICD Companion Guide provides an operational explanation of the interfaces and messages described in the Carrier Payment Interface Control Document (ICD).

As a key component of that, the 820 Payment Companion Guide defines the ASC X12N/005010X306 820 premium payment transactions (hereafter simply the ―820 transactions) being implemented for use with the VT HBE.

Submission Date: 5/17/13 Comments Due: 5/24/13

Submission: Second

###### Review Record

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| # | Reference | Observation | Recommendation | Type | CGI Response |
| 1 | Section #, Page # | Describe item of concern | Where applicable, identify recommendation. | See key below | For use in identifying resolution |
| 2 | Section#8.1 P# 17 | What type of error messages will be sent by carriers? Is there any standard error format, if so please describe | Common error type should be defined | Recommended | There will be no functional error messages sent over the payment interface. The 820 message is informational only and does not execute a transaction. Any errors will be resolved manually. |
|  | Section# 9.1.1 P# 22 | Not very clear if it is talking about Transport level security or a message level security or both.  SSL encryption – Is it one way or two way?  Also describe the authentication and authorization mechanism as well. | Please use DII Web Service Security guidelines | Recommended | Transport level encryption, not message level encryption. The SSL authentication is two way. SOAP username token is used for authentication and authorization. |
|  | Section# 9.1.2 P# 23 | Not sure which WS RM option has been recommended | Please use DII Web Service Security guidelines | Recommended | Managed persistence. |
|  | Section# 9.1.3 P# 24 | Replace Software with System and Services |  | Recommended | Changed. |
|  | Section# 9.1.2 P# 23 | Not very clear the purpose of Business Logic Testing. General error log monitoring and troubleshooting should provide this capability. Why ad-hoc testing is required |  | Recommended | A variety of business logic rules will be applied to 820 messages. Business logic testing is required to test, monitor and log functional level errors that would not be present in a general error log for transport level errors and message structure validation errors. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Type Key:

* Required: does not meet contract or DED specifications or is a factual error – must be addressed
* Recommended: recommended to better address deliverable purpose, may require discussion to determine agreed-upon resolution
* Optional: a cosmetic correction or recommended improvement

###### Acceptance Criteria Checklist

| Acceptance Criteria | Complete |
| --- | --- |
| Premium Remittance - 820 Messages used by the VT HBE to notify QHP Issuers of the weekly remittance of individual and employer premium payment funds. | ✓ |
| Premium Payment Notification - 820 Messages used by the VT HBE to notify QHP Issuers on a nightly basis regarding the receipt payments for initial and ongoing premium amounts due. | ✓ |
| 820 Messages used by the VT HBE to notify QHP Issuers on a monthly basis regarding the remittance of Vermont Cost Sharing Reduction payments. | ✓ |

###### Deliverable Disposition

Approver: Justin Tease

Reviewer(s): Rick Ketcham, Paul Haigh

Disposition:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Disposition | Comments |
| □ Approved |  |
| □ Rejected |  |

Approver’s signature:

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Approver Name |  |  |  |
| Signature |  | Date |  |